arXiv:1211.2942v1 [math.CO] 13 Nov 2012

$(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RELATIVE DIFFERENCE SETS AND THEIR REPRESENTATIONS

YUE ZHOU

Abstract. We show that every $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-relative difference set D in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ relative to $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ can be represented by a polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x]$, if $f(x+a) + f(x) + xa$ is a permutation for each nonzero a. We call such f planar function on $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. The projective plane Π obtained from D in the way of Ganley and Spence [16] is coordinatized, and we obtain sufficient and necessary conditions of Π to be a presemifield plane. We also consider the nonexistence result of the planar function with exactly two elements in its image set.

1. Introduction

Let G be a group of order $\nu = mn$, $N \subseteq G$ and $|N| = n$. A k-subset D of G is called a *relative difference set* with parameters (m, n, k, λ) (abbreviated to (m, n, k, λ)-RDS), if the list of differences of D covers every element in $G \setminus N$ exactly λ times, and the elements in $N \setminus \{0\}$ not at all, where N is called the *forbidden subgroup*. D is called *abelian* or *cyclic* if G has the respective property. When $n = 1$, then a $(\nu, 1, k, \lambda)$-RDS is just a (ν, k, λ)-difference set.

A special case of relative difference sets appeared first in the work of Bose [4], and the "relative difference set" term was introduced by Butson [7]. The main motivation to study relative difference sets comes from the fact that the existence of an (m, n, k, λ)-RDS in G relative to N is equivalent to the existence of a *divisible design* with the same parameters admitting G as a regular automorphism group. If N is normal in G, then N acts regularly on each point class of this design. For further results and references see [29]. For a comprehensive introduction to difference sets see Chapter VI of [2].

A *projective plane* consists of a set of lines $\mathcal{L}$, a set of points $\mathcal{B}$, and given any two distinct points (lines), there is exactly one line (point) incident with both of them, and there are four points such that no line is incident with more than two of them. By removing one chosen line and the points on it from a projective plane, we get an *affine plane* which forms a divisible design.

Two projective plane are called *isomorphic*, if there is a permutation on $\mathcal{L}$ and a permutation on $\mathcal{B}$ such that the incidence relationship is preserved. A *collineation* (or *automorphism*) of a projective plane is an isomorphism of the plane onto itself.

Every projective plane Π can be coordinatized with a *planar ternary ring*, abbreviated to *PTR*, in a proper way. Different ways of coordinatization will raise different PTRs. Moreover, if Π has some special types of collineation group, it give rise to some extra algebraic properties of the PTR. For instance, using the coordinatization method in [21], if G fix a line L_∞ pointwise and acts regularly on

---

Key words and phrases. relative difference set, commutative semifield, projective plane, isotopism.

the other points, the corresponding PTR is a *quasifield*, this line L_∞ is called the *translation line* and Π is a *translation plane*. For the definitions of PTR, quasifield and other basic knowledge of projective plane, see [21].

As shown by Ganley and Spence [16, Theorem 3.1], let $D \subseteq G$ be an RDS relative to a normal subgroup N with parameters $(m,n,k,1) = (q,q,q,1), (q+1,q-1,q,1)$ or $(q^2+q+1, q^2-q, q^2, 1)$, then D can be uniquely extended to a projective plane Π. Furthermore, G acts quasi-regularly on the points and lines of Π, and the point orbits (also line orbits) of Π under G are the cases (b), (d) and (e) respectively in Dembowski-Piper classification [13]. For case (b), let D be a $(q,q,q,1)$-RDS in $(G,+)$ relative to N, then the projective plane Π can be obtained in the following way [16]:

affine points: $g \in G$;
lines: $D+g := \{d+g : d \in D\}$, and the distinct cosets of N: $N+g_1, \dots, N+g_q$ and a new line L_∞;
points on L_∞: (g_i) defined by the parallel classes $\{D+g_i+h : h \in N\}$ and (∞) defined by the parallel classes $\{N+g_1, \dots, N+g_q\}$.

Under the action of G, the points of Π form three orbits: the affine points, $\{(\infty)\}$ and the points on L_∞ except for (∞). The lines of Π also have three orbits: $\{D+g : g \in G\}$, $\{L_\infty\}$ and all the lines incident with (∞) except for L_∞. When G is commutative, Π is called a *shift plane* and G is its *shift group*.

Denote the cyclic group of order m by $\mathbb{Z}_m$. Ganley [15] and Blokhuis, Jungnickel, Schmidt [3] proved the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let D be a $(q,q,q,1)$-RDS in an abelian group G of order q^2.*

- [15] *If q is even, then q is a power of 2, say $q = 2^n$, and $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_4^n$, the forbidden subgroup $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, see also* [22] *for a short proof;*
- [3] *If q is odd, then q is a prime power, say $q = p^n$, and the rank of G, i.e. the smallest cardinality of a generating set for G, is at least $n+1$.*

For G abelian and $q = p^n$ odd, all the known $(q,q,q,1)$-RDS are subsets of $(\mathbb{F}_p^{2n}, +)$, a $2n$-dimensional vector space over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$. Moreover, let D be a $(q,q,q,1)$-RDS in G, then all the known examples can be expressed as:

$$D = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n})\},$$

where f is a so-called *planar function* from $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ to itself. A function $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ is *planar* if the mapping

$$x \mapsto f(x+a) - f(x),$$

is a permutation for all $a \neq 0$. Until now, except for one special family every known planar function on $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ can be written, up to adding affine terms, as a *Dembowski-Ostrom Polynomial* $f(x) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij} x^{p^i+p^j}$. It produces a commutative distributive quasifield plane [12], namely a commutative semifield plane, on which the multiplication is defined by:

$$x \star y := \frac{1}{2}(f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} \frac{c_{ij}+c_{ji}}{2} x^{p^i} y^{p^j}. \tag{1.1}$$

The only known exception was given by Coulter and Matthews [11]:

$$f(x) = x^{\frac{3^\alpha+1}{2}}$$

over $\mathbb{F}_{3^n}$ provided that α is odd and $\gcd(\alpha, n) = 1$, and the plane produced by the corresponding RDS is not a translation plane [11].

A *semifield* is a field-like algebraic structure on which the associative property of multiplication does not necessarily hold. When the existence of a multiplicative identity is not guaranteed, then it is called *presemifield.* Let $\mathbb{S} = (\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, \star)$ be a semifield, then the subsets

$$\begin{aligned} N_l(\mathbb{S}) &= \{a \in \mathbb{S} : (a \star x) \star y = a \star (x \star y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{S}\}, \\ N_m(\mathbb{S}) &= \{a \in \mathbb{S} : (x \star a) \star y = x \star (a \star y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{S}\}, \\ N_r(\mathbb{S}) &= \{a \in \mathbb{S} : (x \star y) \star a = x \star (y \star a) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{S}\}, \end{aligned}$$

are called the *left, middle* and *right nucleus* of $\mathbb{S}$, respectively. A recent survey about finite semifield can be found in [26]. One important fact that we need here is that the order of every finite (pre)semifield is a power of a prime and its additive group is elementary abelian. Now let $\mathbb{S} = (\mathbb{F}_{p^n}, +, *)$ be a finite presemifield. Since $x * y$ is additive with respect to both variables x and y, it can be written as a *p-polynomial* map:

$$x * y = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} a_{ij} x^{p^i} y^{p^j},$$

where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. For p odd, the commutative one can be obtained through (1.1) from a $(p^n, p^n, p^n, 1)$-RDS. For $p = 2$, if a $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDS defines a commutative semifield, then we will show later how to get its multiplication in the form of a p-polynomial. If a plane Π can be coordinatized with a semifield, Π is called a *semifield plane.* It can be shown that Π is a semifield plane if and only if Π and its dual plane are both translation plane. In some literatures this condition is used as the definition of semifield planes, see for example [26].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we give two representations of a $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDS in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$. Then in Section 3 we coordinatize the plane Π from a $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDS, and present necessary and sufficient conditions that Π is a semifield plane. In Section 4, we consider planar functions f with $\#\mathrm{Im}(f) = 2$. Finally, we consider the component functions of the $\mathbb{F}_2^n$-representations.

2. Representations of D

Theorem 1.1 shows that, every $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDS is a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ relative to $2\mathbb{Z}_4^n \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. For convenience, we will always say "$\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ relative to $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$" instead of "$\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ relative to $2\mathbb{Z}_4^n$" in the rest of this paper. Before considering these relative difference sets, we introduce some notations for the elements in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$. Define an embedding $\psi : \mathbb{F}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ by $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(1) = 1$, and define $\Psi : \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ by

$$\Psi(x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}) = (\psi(x_0), \psi(x_1), \cdots, \psi(x_{n-1})).$$

Every $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ can be uniquely expressed as

$$\xi = \lfloor a, b \rfloor := a^{\Psi} + 2b^{\Psi},$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$. For instance $3 \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ is $\lfloor 1, 1 \rfloor$. Furthermore, let $\lfloor a, b \rfloor, \lfloor c, d \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}_4^n$, then we have

$$\lfloor a, b \rfloor + \lfloor c, d \rfloor = \lfloor a \oplus c, b \oplus d \oplus (a \odot c) \rfloor,$$

where $\oplus$ is the addition in $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and $a \odot c := (a_0c_0, \dots, a_{n-1}c_{n-1})$ with $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ and $c = (c_0, \dots, c_{n-1})$.

Remark 2.1. In the language of group theory, $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ can be seen as an extension of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ by $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and all $\lfloor a, 0 \rfloor$ together form a transversal of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$, and $\odot : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \times \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is the corresponding factor set (or cocycle). Factor sets is an important tool for many combinatorial objects, see [20] for the applications to Hadamard matrices and RDSs.

Let D be a transversal of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$, then we can write every element in D as

$$\lfloor d, h(d) \rfloor = d^\Psi + 2h(d)^\Psi, \tag{2.1}$$

where h is a mapping from $\mathbb{Z}_4^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Now assume that D is a $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDS, then D is also a transversal, otherwise the difference list of D will contain some element of the forbidden subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. Let $\lfloor a, b \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ and $a \neq 0$. Notice that there is exactly one element in $(D + \lfloor a, b \rfloor) \cap D$, hence the equation

$$\lfloor d \oplus a, h(d) \oplus b \oplus (d \odot a) \rfloor = \lfloor d', h(d') \rfloor,$$

holds for exactly one pair (d, d'), which means the mapping

$$\Delta_{h,a} : d \mapsto h(d \oplus a) \oplus h(d) \oplus (d \odot a) \tag{2.2}$$

is bijective for each $a \neq 0$. Conversely, if h is given such that $\Delta_{h,a}$ is always a permutation for nonzero a, then D is a $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDS.

Remark 2.2. In fact, $\Delta_{h,a}$ already appears in [19] by Hiramine in the form of factor sets. However, our main idea here is to use it to derive special types of functions over finite fields. It is more convenient and easier to consider the properties and constructions of $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDS, since every mapping from a finite field to itself can be expressed as a polynomial.

The fact $h : \mathbb{Z}_4^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ defined from D by (2.1) can be considered as a mapping from $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ to itself, hence we call h the $\mathbb{F}_2^n$*-representation* of a transversal D. We will use it to introduce the polynomial representation over a finite field.

Let $B = \{\xi_i : i = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$, then we can take both h and $\Delta_{h,a}$ as mappings from $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ to itself using this basis B, and express them as polynomials $h_B, \Delta_{h_B,a} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x]$. Furthermore, for $x = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i\xi_i$ and $y = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i\xi_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, we define

$$x \odot_B y := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i y_i \xi_i, \;\; \mu_B(x) := \sum_{i<j} x_i x_j \xi_i \xi_j,$$

and

$$f_B(x) := h_B(x)^2 + \mu_B(x)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{f_B,a}(x) :&= f_B(x+a) + f_B(x) + f_B(a) + xa \\
&= (h_B(x+a) + h_B(x) + h_B(a))^2 + (\mu_B(x+a) + \mu_B(x) + \mu_B(a)) + xa \\
&= (h_B(x+a) + h_B(x) + h_B(a))^2 + (x \odot_B y)^2 \\
&= (\Delta_{h_B,a}(x) + h_B(a))^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and it is straightforward to see that for each $a \neq 0$ (2.2) is a bijection if and only if $\nabla_{f_B,a}(x)$ acts as a permutation. We call $f_B(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x]$ the $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$*-representation* of D respect to the basis B. To sum up, we have proved the following result:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ be a transversal of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$, B be a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$, and h be the $\mathbb{F}_2^n$-representation of D and f be the $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$-representation $f_B(x)$ respect to B. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *D is an RDS in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ relative to $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$;*
2. *$\Delta_{h,a}$ is bijective for each $a \neq 0$;*
3. *$\nabla_{f_B,a}(x)$ is a permutation polynomial for each $a \neq 0$.*

Remark 2.3. Let $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, $(c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_{n-1})$ be a nonzero vector in $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ and $d_0 \in \mathbb{F}_2$. It is easy to see that by adding affine term $ux^{2^i} + v$ to $f_B(x)$ or adding $\sum_i c_i x_i + d_0$ to any coordinate functions h_i of h do not change the permutation properties of $\nabla_{f_B,a}$ or $\Delta_{h,a}$ respectively. Therefore, in the rest of this paper we always assume that there is **no linear or constant term** in $f_B(x)$ or the coordinate functions of h.

Since we can always use an RDS in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ relative to $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ to construct a plane of order 2^n, we call $f : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ a *planar function* if for each $a \neq 0$,

$$f(x+a) + f(x) + xa$$

acts as a permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. As every mapping from $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ to itself can be written as a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x]$, the corresponding polynomial of a planar function is called *planar polynomial*.

Remark 2.4. For p odd, Dembowski and Ostrom defined planar function in the following way: $f : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ is *planar* if and only if for all given $a \neq 0$,

$$f(x+a) - f(x)$$

is a permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, see [12]. They showed that $\{(x, f(x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n})\}$ is a $(p^n, p^n, p^n, 1)$-RDS in $\mathbb{Z}_p^{2n}$ relative to $\mathbb{Z}_p^n$ if and only if f is planar. Furthermore the planar functions for p odd are also called *perfect nonlinear functions*, and they are useful in the construction of S-boxes in block cipher to resist some attacks, see [27]. However, there do not exist perfect nonlinear function f on $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, since $f(x+a) - f(x) = f((x+a)+a) - f(x+a)$. Therefore it is impossible to extend the classical definition of planar functions to the case $p = 2$.

The advantage of these representations is that we can apply finite fields theory to construct and analyze $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDS in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$. Here are some examples:

Example 2.1. For each positive integer n, every affine mapping, especially $f(x) = 0$, is a planar function on $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$.

Example 2.2. Assume that we have a chain of fields $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_0 \supset \mathbb{F}_1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathbb{F}_n$ of characteristic 2 with $[\mathbb{F} : \mathbb{F}_n]$ odd and corresponding trace mappings $\mathrm{Tr}_i : \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{F}_i$. In [23], Kantor and Williams present commutative presemifields $\mathbf{B}((\mathbb{F}_i)_0^n, (\zeta_i)_1^n)$ on which the multiplication is defined as:

$$x * y = xy + (x \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{Tr}_i(\zeta_i y) + y \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{Tr}_i(\zeta_i x))^2, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\zeta_i \in \mathbb{F}^*$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is related to a subfamily of the symplectic spreads constructed in [8]. In (2.3) we have $(x \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{Tr}_i(\zeta_i x))^2$ as a planar function on $\mathbb{F}$.

Note that this semifield is a generalization of Knuth's binary semifields [25], on which the multiplication is defined as:

$$x * y = xy + (x\mathrm{Tr}(y) + y\mathrm{Tr}(x))^2, \tag{2.4}$$

corresponding to the presemifields $\mathbf{B}((\mathbb{F}_i)_0^1, (1))$. The planar function derived from Knuth semifield is $(x\mathrm{Tr}(x))^2$.

Next, we consider the equivalence between $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDSs and how an equivalence transformation affects the $\mathbb{F}_2^n$-representation h of a $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDS and $*_h : \mathbb{F}_2^n \times \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^n$ which is defined by:

$$x *_h y := h(x + y) + h(x) + h(y) + x \odot y,\ x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, \tag{2.5}$$

where $x \odot y = (x_0y_0, x_1y_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}y_{n-1})$.

Let D_1 and $D_2 \subseteq G$ be two $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDSs. They are *equivalent* if there exists some $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ and $a \in G$ such that $\alpha(D_1) = D_2 + a$. When G is abelian, every element in $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ can be expressed by a matrix, see [18, 31] for details. For our case $G = \mathbb{Z}_4^n$, the corresponding result is:

Lemma 2.2 ([18]). *There is a 1-1 mapping ρ from $\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{Z}_4)$ to $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_4^n, \mathbb{Z}_4^n)$. Furthermore, $\rho(L)$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ if and only if $(L \mod 2)$ is invertible.*

Theorem 2.3. *Let D_1 and D_2 be $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDS in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ relative to $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, let $h_1, h_2 : \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be their $\mathbb{F}_2^n$-representations respectively. Then, there exist $\alpha := \rho(L) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_4^n)$ such that $D_2 = \alpha(D_1)$ if and only if*

$$M(x) *_{h_2} M(y) = M(x *_{h_1} y), \tag{2.6}$$

where M is defined by $(L \mod 2)$ acting as an element of $\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Proof. By abuse of notation, we also use Ψ to denote the mapping from $\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ to $\mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{Z}_4)$ which acts on every entry of the matrix as the embedding $\psi : \mathbb{F}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ with $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(1) = 1$.

"⇒" Assume that $L = M^\Psi + 2N^\Psi$, where $L \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{Z}_4)$, $M, N \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n\times n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. It is routine to check that

$$\alpha(\lfloor a, b\rfloor) = \alpha(a^\Psi + 2b^\Psi) = M^\Psi a^\Psi + 2(M^\Psi b^\Psi + N^\Psi a^\Psi)$$

and

$$M^\Psi a^\Psi = (Ma)^\Psi + 2(Q(M, a))^\Psi,$$

where the k-th coordinate of $Q(M, x)$ is $Q_k(M, x) = \sum_{i<j} a_{ki}a_{kj}x_ix_j$ with $M = (a_{ij})$ and $x = (x_0, \cdots, x_{n-1})^T$. Thus we have

$$\alpha(\lfloor x, h(x)\rfloor) = \lfloor Mx, Mh(x) + Nx + Q(M, x)\rfloor.$$

Therefore by $D_2 = \alpha(D_1)$ we have:

$$h_2(Mx) = Mh_1(x) + Nx + Q(M, x). \tag{2.7}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
&Q_k(M, x + y) + Q_k(M, x) + Q_k(M, y) + M_k(x \odot y)\\
=&\sum_{i<j} a_{ki}a_{kj}((x_i + y_i)(x_j + y_j) + x_ix_j + y_iy_j) + \sum_i a_{ki}x_iy_i\\
=&\sum_i a_{ki}x_i \sum_j a_{kj}y_j\\
=&(Mx \odot My)_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Together with (2.5) and (2.7), we get (2.6).

"$\Leftarrow$" Let $D_2' := \alpha'(D_1)$ with $\alpha' := \rho(M^\Psi)$, and h_2' be its $\mathbb{F}_2^n$-representation. Similarly as the proof of (2.7), we have

$$h_2'(Mx) = Mh_1(x) + Q(M, x).$$

Furthermore if (2.6) holds, then $x *_{h_2'} y = x *_{h_2} y$, i.e.

$$h_2'(x+y) + h_2'(x) + h_2'(y) = h_2(x+y) + h_2(x) + h_2(y),$$

which means that

$$(h_2 + h_2')(x+y) + (h_2 + h_2')(x) + (h_2 + h_2')(y) = 0,$$

for all x, y. Hence $l(x) := h_2'(x) + h_2(x)$ is a linear function on $\mathbb{F}_2^n$. Denote N' the matrix defined by l on $\mathbb{F}_2^n$. Let $y := Mx$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lfloor y, h_2(y) \rfloor &= \lfloor y, h_2'(y) + N'y \rfloor \\ &= \lfloor Mx, Mh_1(x) + N'Mx + Q(M, x) \rfloor.\end{aligned}$$

By taking $N := N'M$ and $L := M^\Psi + 2N^\Psi$, we can easily check that $D_2 = \alpha(D_1)$ with $\alpha := \rho(L)$. □

It is also easy to show that:

Proposition 2.4. Let D be a $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDS in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ relative to $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, $\lfloor a, b \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ and $h, \tilde{h} : \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be the $\mathbb{F}_2^n$-representations of D and $D + \lfloor a, b \rfloor$ respectively. Then we have

$$x *_{\tilde{h}} y = h(x+y+a) + h(x+a) + h(y+a) + b + x \odot y, \text{ for } x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n.$$

3. Coordinatization of Π

Let h be a $\mathbb{F}_2^n$-representation of D, which is a $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDS in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ relative to $N = \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ with $h(0) = 0$ (if $h(0) \neq 0$, then take $D - \lfloor 0, h(0) \rfloor$ instead of D), and Π is the plane defined by D in Ganley's manner in the section of instruction. By using the method of Hughes and Piper in Chapter V of [21], we label the points of Π by the elements in $\mathbb{F}_2^n \times \mathbb{F}_2^n$, $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ and ∞.

(i) Take three lines $L_x := D$, $L_y := N$ and L_∞ to form a triangle, and we label three points: $(0,0) := L_x \cap L_y$, $(\infty) := L_y \cap L_\infty$ and $(0) := L_x \cap L_\infty$;

(ii) For $\lfloor x, h(x) \rfloor \in D$, label the corresponding point on L_x by $(x, 0)$;

(iii) Assign (1) to the intersection point J of L_∞ and the affine parallel class $\{D + \lfloor 1, k \rfloor : k \in \mathbb{F}_2^n\}$ (here $1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is the vector $(0, 0, \ldots, 1)$ for short);

(iv) Let $X = (x, 0)$ be a point on L_x, label $JX \cap L_y$ by $(0, x)$. In fact, JX is the set $D + \lfloor 1, k \rfloor$ for some $k \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ which is determined by the equation $(D + \lfloor 1, k \rfloor) \cap D = (x, h(x))$. By some calculation we get

$$(D + \lfloor 1, k \rfloor) \cap N = \lfloor 0, (1 * x) \rfloor,$$

where $* := *_h$ is defined as in (2.5);

(v) For each line through $(1, 0)$ which intersect L_y at $(0, m)$, assign (m) to its intersection with L_∞, which is on $D + \lfloor v, k \rfloor$ with $v * 1 = m$;

(vi) For each point E not on L_x, L_y or L_∞, if $XE \cap L_y$ is $(0, y)$ and $YE \cap L_x$ is $(x, 0)$, then E is given the coordinate (x, y).

Let $\star$ be the multiplication of the planar ternary ring of Π which is coordinated as above, then it is routine to check that

$$m \star x = \tau(m * x), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\tau : 1 * x \mapsto x$, and the identity element of $(\mathbb{F}_2^n, \star, +)$ is 1.

Remark 3.1. The above steps of points labeling of Π are slightly different from the original one by Hughes and Piper: we first label the points on L_x instead of L_y. However, this makes no difference essentially. Therefore, by the corollary of Theorem 6.3 in [21], L_∞ is a translation line if and only if $\star$ satisfies the left distributive law, namely $(\mathbb{F}_2^n, +, \star)$ is a quasifield.

Next we consider the conditions under which Π is a semifield plane.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $G := \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ and $h : \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be an $\mathbb{F}_2^n$-representation of D, which is a $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDS in G relative to $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, let $* := *_h$ and $\star$ be defined by (2.5) and (3.1) respectively. Let $f_B(x)$ be an $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$-representation of D with respect to basis B. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *$(\mathbb{F}_2^n, \star, +)$ is a commutative semifield;*
2. *$(\mathbb{F}_2^n, *, +)$ is a commutative presemifield;*
3. *$h(x+y+z)+h(x+y)+h(x+z)+h(y+z)+h(x)+h(y)+h(z) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$;*
4. *Every component function of $h(x)$ is of degree 2 at most;*
5. *$f_B(x)$ is a Dembowski-Ostrom polynomial;*
6. *Π is a commutative semifield plane.*

Proof. We only need to prove the distributivity of $*$ and $\star$ for one side by their commutativity.

Assume that $*$ defines a multiplication of presemifield, then $x \mapsto 1 * x$ is an additive mapping by the distributivity of $*$, so is its inverse $\tau : 1*x \mapsto x$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(x+y) \star z - x \star z - y \star z \\ =&\tau((x+y) * z) - \tau(x * z) - \tau(y * z) \\ =&\tau(x * z + y * z - x * z - y * z) \\ =&0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, from which we deduce the distributivity of $\star$, i.e. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1).

Next, we assume that $\star$ defines the multiplication of a semifield. Let $g(x) := x \star x$, since $x * x = x$ we get that

$$g(x) = \tau(x * x) = \tau(x),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(x+y) =&(x+y) \star (x+y) \\ =&x \star x + x \star y + y \star x + y \star y \\ =&x \star x + y \star y \\ =&g(x) + g(y) \end{aligned}$$

by the distributivity and commutativity of $\star$. Hence τ is also an additive mapping, so is its inverse. Therefore, $(x+y)*z = \tau^{-1}((x+y)\star z) = \tau^{-1}(x\star z+y\star z) = x*z+y*z$, i.e. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2)

The equivalence between (2) and (3) follows directly from the expansion of $(x+y)*z = x*z+y*z$;

(4) ⇒ (2): If (4) holds, then we see that for fixed $y \neq 0$ every component function of $x \mapsto x*y$ is an additive mapping from $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ to $\mathbb{F}_2$, which means the distributivity of $*$;

(2) ⇒ (4): Consider any component function of $x*y$, which defines a bilinear form $B(x,y) : \mathbb{F}_2^n \times \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2$. By the relationship between quadratic forms and bilinear forms, wee see that the corresponding component functions of h must be of degree 2 at most;

(4) ⇔ (5): Since in Remark 2.3 we assume that there is no linear or constant term in $f_B(x)$, therefore $f_B(x)$ is a Dembowski-Ostrom polynomial if and only if all components of $h(x)$ are of degree ≤ 2 (here 0 is also considered as a Dembowski-Ostrom polynomial);

(1) ⇒ (6) is directly from the definition;

(6) ⇒ (1): Assume that Π is a commutative semifield plane, i.e. by coordinatizing Π in a proper way, a commutative semifield $(\mathbb{F}_2^n, \diamond, +)$ can be obtained. Now we label the points and lines of Π in another way which is different from the coordinatization at the beginning of this section. We denote the affine points of Π by $(x,y)_\diamond$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, and the affine lines by point sets

$$[m,k]_\diamond := \{(x,y)_\diamond : m \diamond x + y = k\} \text{ with } m,k \in \mathbb{F}_2^n,$$

and

$$[k]_\diamond := \{(k,y)_\diamond : y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n\} \text{ with } k \in \mathbb{F}_2^n.$$

Every parallel class of affine lines corresponds to a point, and all such points form the line $\tilde{L}_\infty$ of Π. There are 4^n bijections

$$\alpha_{ab} : (x,y)_\diamond \mapsto (x+a, y+a \diamond x + b)_\diamond \text{ with } a,b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n,$$

which are collineations of Π and together form a shift group $\tilde{G}$. Furthermore, by [24, Theorem 9.4], every shift group of Π is of the form

$$\tilde{G}_s := \{(x,y)_\diamond \mapsto (x+a, y+(a \diamond s) \diamond x + b)_\diamond | a,b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n\},$$

where s belongs to the middle nucleus of $(\mathbb{F}_2^n, \diamond, +)$. That means there is one s_0, such that $\tilde{G}_{s_0}$ and G act on Π in the same way. It is routine to check that $\tilde{L}_\infty$ is the unique line fixed by $\tilde{G}_{s_0}$. Since L_∞ is also fixed by G, we see that $\tilde{L}_\infty$ and L_∞ are the same line. On the other hand, by the distributive law of $(\mathbb{F}_2^n, \diamond, +)$, we have the collineations

$$\beta_{ab} : (x,y)_\diamond \mapsto (x+a, y+b)_\diamond \text{ with } a,b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$$

which act regularly on the affine points of Π and fix the line $\tilde{L}_\infty$ pointwise. That means $\tilde{L}_\infty = L_\infty$ is a translation line. Therefore, by the corollary of Theorem 6.3 in [21], $(\mathbb{F}_2^n, \star, +)$ satisfies the left distributive law. Together with the commutativity of $\star$, we see that $(\mathbb{F}_2^n, \star, +)$ is a commutative semifield.

□

Corollary 3.2. Let D_1 and D_2 be two $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDSs in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ relative to $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ which define commutative semifields and 0 is in both D_1 and D_2. If there exists $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(Z_4^n)$ and $g \in \mathbb{Z}_4^n$ such that $\alpha(D_1) = D_2 + g$, there is also some $\beta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_4^n)$ such that $\beta(D_1) = D_2$.

Proof. Let h_i be the $\mathbb{F}_2^n$-representation of D_i, $i=1,2$. Let $\lfloor a,b\rfloor = g$, then by $0=\lfloor 0,0\rfloor \in D_1, D_2$ we have $b=h_2(a)$. Let $\tilde{h}_2$ be the $\mathbb{F}_2^n$-representation of D_2+g, then by Proposition 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} x *_{\tilde{h}_2} y &= h_2(x+y+a)+h_2(x+a)+h_2(y+a)+h_2(a)+x\odot y \\ &= h_2(x+y)+h_2(x)+h_2(y)+x\odot y \\ &= x *_{h_2} y, \end{aligned}$$

the second equality comes from Theorem 3.1(3). Assume that $\alpha=\rho(L)$ and $M := L$ mod 2 (see Theorem 2.3), then

$$M(x *_{h_1} y) = M(x) *_{\tilde{h}_2} M(y) = M(x) *_{h_2} M(y).$$

Thus by Theorem 2.3, there is some $\beta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_4^n)$ such that $\beta(D_1)=D_2$.

□

By Theorem 3.1, we can obtain a non-translation plane by a non-Dembowski-Ostrom polynomial $f(x)$ which acts as a planar function on $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Hence we propose the following open question:

Question 1. Find a non-Dembowski-Ostrom planar polynomial $f(x)\in\mathbb{F}_{2^n}[x]$, or prove the nonexistence of it.

Let $(\mathbb{S}_1, *, +)$ and $(\mathbb{S}_2, \star, +)$ be two (pre)semifields with the same cardinality p^n, they are called *isotopic* if there are linear bijective mappings $M, N, L : \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that

$$M(x) * N(y) = L(x \star y).$$

Furthermore, if $M=N$, then $\mathbb{S}_1$ are *strongly isotopic* to $\mathbb{S}_2$. The isotopism is the most important equivalent relationship between (pre)semifield, since Albert [1] showed that two (pre)semifields coordinate isomorphic planes if and only in they are isotopic. By isotopism we can also get a semifield $\mathbb{S}$ from a presemifield $\mathbb{P}$. Let $*$ be the multiplication of a presemifield, then choose arbitrary $e\in\mathbb{P}$ and we obtain a semifield multiplication $\star$ defined by:

$$(x*e)\star(y*e) = x*y,$$

with the identity $e*e$. If $*$ is further commutative, then we can also use (3.1) to define a semifield multiplication.

When $p=2$, there is some result about the commutative (pre)semifields obtained by Coulter and Henderson.

Lemma 3.3 ([10], Corollary 2.7)**.** *Any two commutative presemifields of even order are isotopic if and only if they are strongly isotopic.*

We have already seen how to get a semifield plane from an RDS. On the other hand, given a semifield plane, an RDS containing 0 can also be produced, see [17] by Ghinelli and Jungnickel. Now assume D_1 and D_2 are two $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDS in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ obtained from two commutative semifields $\mathbb{S}_1$ and $\mathbb{S}_2$ both with order 2^n. Denote by h_1 and h_2 their $\mathbb{F}_2^n$-representations respectively, we have $h_1(0)=h_2(0)=0$ since $0\in D_1$ and D_2. If D_1 and D_2 are equivalent, by Corollary 3.2 there exists $\alpha\in\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_4^n)$ such that $\alpha(D_1)=D_2$. By Theorem 2.3, $\mathbb{S}_1$ and $\mathbb{S}_2$ are isotopic. On the contrary, if $\mathbb{S}_1$ and $\mathbb{S}_2$ are isotopic, then by Lemma 3.3 they are strongly isotopic, i.e. there exist $M, L : \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that

$$M(x) *_{h_1} M(y) = L(x *_{h_2} y),$$

which is

$$\begin{aligned}&h_1 \circ M(x+y) + h_1 \circ M(x) + h_1 \circ M(y) + M(x) \odot M(y)\\=&L(h_2(x+y) + h_2(x) + h_2(y) + x \odot y).\end{aligned}$$

Notice that for each quadratic function $h : \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^n$ and $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$, the term $x_i y_i$ can never appear in the components of $h(x+y) + h(x) + h(y)$, hence

$$M(x) \odot M(y) = L(x \odot y).$$

Let $x = y = e_i$, which denotes the vector with a 1 in the i-th coordinate and 0's elsewhere. We have

$$M(e_i) = M(e_i) \odot M(e_i) = L(e_i \odot e_i) = L(e_i)$$

for each $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1$, which means that $M = L$. Thus $(M(x) *_{h_1} M(y)) = M(x *_{h_2} y)$. By Theorem 2.3, we see that D_1 and D_2 are equivalent. To sum up, we have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\mathbb{S}_1$ and $\mathbb{S}_2$ be two commutative semifields with order 2^n. Let D_1 and D_2 be the RDS derived from them. Then $\mathbb{S}_1$ is isotopic to $\mathbb{S}_2$ if and only if D_1 is equivalent to D_2.

Remark 3.2. For p odd, the equivalence between RDS from commutative semifields is equivalent to the strong isotopism between the commutative semifields. As shown by Coulter and Henderson [10], by isotopism one semifield can produce at most two semifields which are not strongly isotopic. This upper bound can be achieved, examples and their proof can be found in [28, 33].

4. Nonexistence of Boolean Planar Function

By Example 2.2, we see that there are already quite a lot of planar functions. In order to find a non-Dembowski-Ostrom planar function, one strategy is to do some "small modifications" on the known ones but to keep the planar property. One method is called "switching construction", where one try to change just one coordinate function of f. This method was first applied on APN function on $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ [6, 14], and it finally provided a counter example to the "APN permutation" conjecture. This idea can also be applied on planar function with characteristic 3 and it works for some fields with small orders, see [30].

In this section, we are going to consider the Boolean planar function case, or generally, the planar function f with $\mathrm{Im}(f) = \{0, \xi\}$, which can be considered as a "switching" of the planar function $g = 0$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let f be a mapping on $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ and $\mathrm{Im}(f) = \{0, \xi\}$. Then f is a planar mapping if and only if for all $a \neq 0$ and x*

$$f(x+a) + f(x) + f(x + a + \frac{\xi}{a}) + f(x + \frac{\xi}{a}) = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Clearly (4.1) holds if f is planar since $\mathrm{Im}(f) \in \{0, \xi\}$, so we suppose that f is not a planar function, then by definition for some nonzero a, there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that $x \neq y$ and

$$f(x+a) + f(x) + xa = f(y+a) + f(y) + ya.$$

Since $\#\mathrm{Im}(f)=2$ and $xa\neq ya$, there is $xa+\xi=ya$, which means that $y=x+\xi/a$ and

$$f(x+a)+f(x)+f(x+a+\frac{\xi}{a})+f(x+\frac{\xi}{a})=\xi.$$

$\square$

Given $f:\mathbb{F}_{2^n}\to\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, define $\mathcal{A}_f$ as the set of (a,b) such that

$$f(x+a)+f(x)+f(x+b+a)+f(x+b)=0 \tag{4.2}$$

holds. Obviously, $0\times\mathbb{F}_{2^n},\mathbb{F}_{2^n}\times 0$ and $\{(a,a):a\in\mathbb{F}_{2^n}\}$ are all contained in $\mathcal{A}_f$. It is straightforward to prove that

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f:\mathbb{F}_{2^n}\to\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. There are binary operations $\wedge,\vee:\mathbb{F}_{2^n}\times\mathbb{F}_{2^n}\to\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that*

(1) If $(a,b),(a+b,c)\in\mathcal{A}_f$, then $(a,b)\wedge(a+b,c)=(a+b,b+c)\in\mathcal{A}_f$;
(2) If $(a,b),(a,c)\in\mathcal{A}_f$, then $(a,b)\vee(a,c)=(a,b+c)\in\mathcal{A}_f$.

Proof. We just prove the first case as an example. Since $(a,b),(a+b,c)\in\mathcal{A}_f$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+a)+f(x)+f(x+b+a)+f(x+b) &= 0\\ f(x+a+b)+f(x)+f(x+c+a+b)+f(x+c) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Sum these equations to get

$$f(x+c+a+b)+f(x+c)+f((x+c)+(b+c)+(a+b))+f((x+c)+(b+c))=0,$$

which means that $(a+b,b+c)\in\mathcal{A}_f$. $\square$

If f is a planar function with $\mathrm{Im}(f)=\{0,\xi\}$, then we have that $\{(a,\xi/a):a\in\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*\}\subseteq\mathcal{A}_f$ by Lemma 4.1. For a given $(a_0,\xi/a_0)\in\mathcal{A}_f$ with $a_0\neq 0$, there is also $(a_0+\frac{\xi}{a_0},\frac{\xi}{a_0+\frac{\xi}{a_0}})$ in $\mathcal{A}_f$. Hence we can define $b_0:=\xi/a_0$ and

$$(a_{i+1},b_{i+1}):=(a_i,b_i)\wedge(a_i+b_i,\frac{\xi}{a_i+b_i})=(a_i+b_i,b_i+\frac{\xi}{a_{i+1}}).$$

All these (a_i,b_i) are contained in $\mathcal{A}_f$ by Lemma 4.2 (1). As it is possible that $a_i+b_i=0$, by abuse of notation we define $\frac{x}{0}:=0$. It is easy to see that

$$(a_i,b_i):=(a_{i+1}+\frac{\xi}{a_{i+1}}+b_{i+1},b_{i+1}+\frac{\xi}{a_{i+1}}),$$

which means that the sequence $((a_i,b_i):i=0,1,\cdots)$ is cyclic. Furthermore, there are

$$a_{i+1}=a_i+b_i=a_{i-1}+\frac{\xi}{a_i},$$

and

$$b_i=a_i+a_{i+1}=a_{i-1}+a_i+\frac{\xi}{a_i},$$

from which we can deduce that a_{i-1},a_i determine b_i, hence the period of $((a_i,b_i):i=0,1,\cdots)$ is the same as the period of $(a_i:i=0,1,\cdots)$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and $a \neq 0, \sqrt{\xi}$. Let $a_0 := a$, $a_1 := a + \xi/a$ and define sequence $S_a = (a_i : i = 0, 1, \cdots)$ by*

$$a_{i+1} := a_{i-1} + \frac{\xi}{a_i}. \tag{4.3}$$

Then

$$a_{2i} = a\left(\frac{a^2}{a^2+\xi}\right)^i, \quad a_{2i+1} = a\left(\frac{a^2+\xi}{a^2}\right)^{i+1}, \tag{4.4}$$

and the period N of S_a is $2 \cdot \operatorname{ord}(1 + \xi/a^2)$.

Proof. We first prove that there is no i such that $a_i = 0$.

Now assume that $a_i = 0$, then by (4.3) we see that $a_{i-1} = a_{i+1}$. Define $\lambda := a_{i-1}$, then further we have

$$(a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, a_{i+3}, a_{i+4}, a_{i+5}, a_{i+6}) = (\lambda, 0, \lambda, \frac{\xi}{\lambda}, 0, \frac{\xi}{\lambda}, \lambda, 0).$$

If $\lambda = 0$ or $\sqrt{\xi}$, then every entry of S_a is 0 or $\sqrt{\xi}$, which contradicts our assumption that $a_0 = a \neq 0, \sqrt{\xi}$. Now we need to find the values of a_0 and a_1, however it is easy to check that all the possible values lead to $a = 0$ or $\sqrt{\xi}$. Therefore, we proved that $a_i \neq 0$ for all i.

Since every $a_i \neq 0$, by (4.3) we have that

$$a_{i+1}a_i = a_i a_{i-1} + \xi,$$

together with $a_0 a_1 = a^2 + \xi$ we can prove that

$$\frac{a_0}{a_{2i}} = \frac{a_0 a_1}{a_1 a_2}\frac{a_2 a_3}{a_3 a_4} \cdots \frac{a_{2i-2}a_{2i-1}}{a_{2i-1}a_{2i}} = \left(\frac{a^2+\xi}{a^2}\right)^i,$$

and

$$\frac{a_1}{a_{2i+1}} = \left(\frac{a^2}{a^2+\xi}\right)^i.$$

Therefore we get (4.4) and the period $N = 2 \cdot \operatorname{ord}(1 + \xi/a^2)$. ☐

Lemma 4.4. *Let f be a mapping from $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ to itself and $f(0) = 0$. Then f is an additive mapping if and only if $\mathcal{A}_f = \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$.*

Proof. If f is additive, then for each $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ there is

$$f(x+a) + f(x) + f(x+b+a) + f(x+b) = f(a) + f(a) = 0.$$

Hence $\mathcal{A}_f = \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$.

If $\mathcal{A}_f = \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, then for each given a,

$$f(x+a) + f(x) + f(y+a) + f(y) = 0,$$

which means the mapping $x \mapsto f(x+a) + f(x)$ is constant. Notice that $f(0) = 0$, we have $f(x+a) = f(x) + f(a)$, i.e. f is additive. ☐

Now, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *Let f be a mapping from $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ to itself with $f(0) = 0$. Let ξ be a nonzero element in $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. When $\mathcal{P}_n := \{1/(1+\alpha) : \alpha$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}\} \cup \{1\}$ spans $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$, then the following are equivalent:*

(1) $\{(a, \xi/a) : a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_f$;

(2) f is an additive mapping.

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, we only need to show that if $\{(a, \xi/a) : a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_f$ then $\mathcal{A}_f = \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$.

If $\alpha = 1 + \xi/a^2$ is a primitive element, then by Lemma 4.3 any $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ appears exactly twice in S_a, and one of the corresponding indices of $a_i = c$ is odd, the other is even. Now we fix c and assume that α is primitive and $a_k = c$, then

$$(a_k, a_{k+1}) = \begin{cases} \left(c, \frac{a^2}{c}\right), & k \text{ is odd;} \\ \left(c, \frac{a^2+\xi}{c}\right), & k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Since $(a_k, a_k + a_{k+1}) = (a_k, b_k) \in \mathcal{A}_f$, it is easy to get that $(a_k, a_{k+1}) \in \mathcal{A}_f$. Notice that $a^2 = \xi/(1+\alpha)$, there is

$$\left\{\left(c, \frac{\xi}{c}\frac{1}{1+\alpha}\right) : \alpha \text{ is a primitive element of } \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_f.$$

Since $(c, \xi/c)$ is also in $\mathcal{A}_f$, by Lemma 4.2 (2) we see that $(c, d\xi/c) \in \mathcal{A}_f$, where d is a linear combination of elements in $\mathcal{P}_n$. Therefore if $\mathcal{P}_n$ span $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, we have that $\mathcal{A}_f \supseteq \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^* \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$, i.e. f is additive.

□

Let $\mathrm{Tr}_n : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \to \mathbb{F}_2$ be the trace mapping. Notice that $\mathcal{P}_n$ spans $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$ if and only if for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$, there exists some $a \in \mathcal{P}_n$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_n(\beta a) = 1$, which actually holds for $n \geq 18$ by setting $q = 2$, $r = m = l = 1$, $f_1(x) = \frac{\beta}{x+1}$ and $t_1 = 1$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6 (Stephen D. Cohen [9]). *Let $f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}(x)$ form a strongly linearly independent set over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $\deg f_i \leq m$, $i = 1, \dots, r$ and let $t_1, \dots, t_r \in \mathbb{F}_q$ be given. Also let l be any divisor of $q^n - 1$. Suppose that*

$$n > 4(r + \log_q(9.8 l^{3/4} rm)).$$

Then there exists an element $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ of order $(q^n - 1)/l$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_n(f_{\gamma_i}(\gamma)) = t_i, \qquad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Using MAGMA[5] program, we can show that $\mathcal{P}_n$ also spans $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ for $n < 18$. Hence, we can remove the condition on $\mathcal{P}_n$ in Theorem 4.5.

Theorem 4.5*. Let n be a positive integer and f be a mapping on $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ where $f(0) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Im}(f) = \{0, \xi\}$ with $\xi \neq 0$. Then f is a planar mapping if and only if f is additive.

5. COMPONENT FUNCTIONS OF $\mathbb{F}_2^n$-REPRESENTATION

Through the $\mathbb{F}_2^n$-representation of RDSs in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ relative to $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$, we see that the component functions of h, defined as $\sum_{i\in\Lambda} h_i$ for each nonempty set $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$, are the ingredients to build up $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDS.

Notice that $\Delta_{h,a}(x)$ is bijective if and only if all the component functions of $\Delta_{h,a}(x)$ are balanced (the image set has the same cardinalities of 0 and $1 \in \mathbb{F}_2$). We define the *shifted-bent* (or *bent*$_4$) *function* f with respect to $\Lambda \subseteq \{0, 1, \cdots n-1\}$, such that for each $a \neq (0, \cdots, 0)$

$$f(x+a) + f(x) + \sum_{i\in\Lambda} x_i a_i \tag{5.1}$$

are balanced, where $x = (x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1})$, $a = (a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1})$. We call Λ the *shift index set* of f. If Λ is empty, then shifted-bent and bent functions are the same. As the relation between p-ary bent function and planar function with odd p, the investigation of shifted-bent functions is helpful to the understanding of $(2^n, 2^n, 2^n, 1)$-RDS, and it is also interesting to look at the problems from the shifted-bent function itself.

Remark 5.1. In fact, bent_4 is initially defined in [32] through a generalization of Walsh transformation, and it can be easily proved to be equivalent to the definition in this paper.

Since linear and constant terms in f only contribute to constant and 0 respectively in $f(x+a)+f(x)$, they do not affect the shifted-bent property of f. Hence in the following part of this section, we assume that **there is no linear or constant term in** f.

Example 5.1. Let $\Lambda = \{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$, then $f = 0$ is shifted-bent.

Next, we are going to show that there are many shifted-bent functions. Moreover, for large n the degree of the corresponding polynomial of shifted-bent function can be larger than 2 (for small n, at least by computer program we find that for $n = 4$ there is no non-quadratic one at all). Let $x = (x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1})$, $y = (y_0, y_1, \cdots, y_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, we define the inner product of x and y as $\langle x, y\rangle := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i y_i$. Firstly we have a construction which is similar to the Maiorana-McFarland bent functions:

Theorem 5.1. *Let g be an arbitrary Boolean function on $\mathbb{F}_2^n$, then*

$$f : (x, y) \mapsto \langle x, \Pi(y)\rangle + g(y)$$

is shifted-bent with respect to any subset of the indices of $\{y_i : i = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$ if and only if Π is a permutation on $\mathbb{F}_2^n$.

Proof. Let the subset of $\{y_i : i = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$ be denoted by Λ. Let Π_i be the i-th coordinate function of Π, for $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.2) \qquad & f(x+a, y+b) + f(x, y) + \sum_{i\in\Lambda} y_i b_i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i(\Pi_i(y+b) + \Pi_i(y)) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \Pi_i(y+b) + g(y+b) + g(y) + \sum_{i\in\Lambda} y_i b_i. \end{aligned}$$

When $b = 0$, it equals

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \Pi(y),$$

which is balanced for all $a \neq 0$ if and only if Π is a permutation.

When $b \neq 0$, we only have to show that if Π is a permutation, then (5.2) is balanced. For each given y, since $\Pi(y+b) + \Pi(y) \neq 0$, (5.2) defines a balanced Boolean function on $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$. Hence we proved the claim. ☐

By the previous theorem, it is easy to show:

Corollary 5.2. Let g be an arbitrary mapping from $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ to itself, and define $f : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ by

$$f : (x, y) \mapsto x\Pi(y) + g(y).$$

Then every component function of f is shifted-bent with respect to an arbitrary subset of the indices of $\{y_i : i = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$ if and only if Π is a permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$.

It is easy to prove the following secondary construction of shifted-bent functions:

Proposition 5.3. Let m, n be positive integers, and let $\Pi_i : \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2$ be a shifted-bent Boolean function with respect to some Λ_i, $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$f(x, y) := \Pi_1(x) + \Pi_2(y)$$

is shifted-bent with respect to $\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$.

By Theorem 5.1, we see that there exist shifted-bent functions which are not quadratic. Furthermore, Corollary 5.2 shows us that it is possible to combine them together to a "vectorial" one. Recall Question 1 in Section 3, our aim is to show the existence or nonexistence of a mapping $h : \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2^n$ (the $\mathbb{F}_2^n$-representation), which is an n-dimensional combination of shifted-bent functions h_i with shift index set $\Lambda_i = \{i\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ and at least one of h_i is of degree larger than 2. Therefore we propose this question again in a more general way:

Question 2. What is the maximal m for each n, such that Boolean functions $f_i : \mathbb{F}_2^n \to \mathbb{F}_2$, $i = 0, 1, \cdots, m-1$ satisfy the following two conditions:

1. For each non-empty set $\Omega \subseteq \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$, $\sum_{i\in\Omega} f_i$ is a shifted-bent function with respect to Ω;
2. At least one of f_i is non-quadratic?

Hence Question 1 is about whether $m = n$ is possible for some n.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Norbert Knarr, Alexander Pott and Kai-Uwe Schmidt for valuable comments and suggestions. In addition thanks to Gohar Kyureghyan for pointing out Theorem 4.6, which leads to the proof of Theorem 4.5*. Part of this work was done while I was visiting the University of Padua, I am grateful to Michel Lavrauw and Corrado Zanella for their hospitality.

References

[1] A.A. Albert. Finite division algebras and finite planes. In *Combinatorial Analysis: Proceedings of the 10th Symposium in Appled Mathematics*, volume 10 of *Symposia in Appl. Math.*, pages 53–70, Providence, R.I., 1960. American Mathematical Society.

[2] Thomas Beth, Dieter Jungnickel, and Hanfried Lenz. *Design theory. Vol. I*, volume 69 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1999.

[3] Aart Blokhuis, Dieter Jungnickel, and Bernhard Schmidt. Proof of the prime power conjecture for projective planes of order n with abelian collineation groups of order n^2. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 130(5):1473–1476, 2002.

[4] R. C. Bose. An affine analogue of Singer's theorem. *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 6:1–15, 1942.

[5] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The MAGMA algebra system I: the user language. *J. Symb. Comput.*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997.

[6] K. A. Browning, J. F. Dillon, M. T. McQuistan, and A. J. Wolfe. An APN permutation in dimension six. In *Finite fields: theory and applications*, volume 518 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 33–42. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.

[7] A. T. Butson. Relations among generalized Hadamard matrices, relative difference sets, and maximal length linear recurring sequences. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 15:42–48, 1963.

[8] A. R. Calderbank, P. J. Cameron, W. M. Kantor, and J. J. Seidel. Z_4-Kerdock codes, orthogonal spreads, and extremal Euclidean Line-Sets. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society. Third Series*, 75(2):436–480, 1997.

[9] Stephen D. Cohen. Finite field elements with specified order and traces. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, 36(3):331–340, 2005.

[10] Robert S. Coulter and Marie Henderson. Commutative presemifields and semifields. *Advances in Mathematics*, 217(1):282 – 304, 2008.

[11] Robert S. Coulter and Rex W. Matthews. Planar functions and planes of Lenz-Barlotti class II. *Des. Codes Cryptography*, 10(2):167–184, 1997.

[12] Peter Dembowski and T. G. Ostrom. Planes of order n with collineation groups of order n^2. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 103:239–258, 1968.

[13] Peter Dembowski and Fred Piper. Quasiregular collineation groups of finite projective planes. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 99:53–75, 1967.

[14] Yves Edel and Alexander Pott. A new almost perfect nonlinear function which is not quadratic. *Advances in Mathematics of Communications*, 3(1):59–81, 2009.

[15] Michael J. Ganley. On a paper of P. Dembowski and T. G. Ostrom. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 27(1):93–98, 1976.

[16] Michael J. Ganley and Edward Spence. Relative difference sets and quasiregular collineation groups. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series A*, 19(2):134–153, 1975.

[17] Dina Ghinelli and Dieter Jungnickel. Finite projective planes with a large abelian group. In *Surveys in combinatorics, 2003 (Bangor)*, volume 307 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 175–237. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[18] Christopher J. Hillar and Darren Rhea. Automorphisms of finite abelian groups. http://arxiv.org/abs/math.GR/0605185, 2006.

[19] Yutaka Hiramine. Factor sets associated with regular collineation groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 142(2):414–423, October 1991.

[20] Kathryn J. Horadam. *Hadamard matrices and their applications*. Princeton University Press, 2007.

[21] Daniel R. Hughes and Fred C. Piper. *Projective planes*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 6.

[22] Dieter Jungnickel. On a theorem of Ganley. *Graphs Combin.*, 3(2):141–143, 1987.

[23] William M. Kantor and Michael E. Williams. Symplectic semifield planes and $\mathbb{Z}_4$-linear codes. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 356(3):895–938, 2004.

[24] Norbert Knarr and Markus Stroppel. Polarities of shift planes. *Advances in Geometry*, 9(4):577–603, August 2009.

[25] Donald E. Knuth. A class of projective planes. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 115:541–549, March 1965.

[26] Michel Lavrauw and Olga Polverino. Finite semifiels and Galois geometry. In Leo Storme and Jan De Beule, editors, *Current research topics in Galois Geometry*, chapter 6, pages 131–160. NOVA Academic Publishers, 2011.

[27] Kaisa Nyberg. Perfect nonlinear S-boxes. In *Advances in cryptology—EUROCRYPT '91 (Brighton, 1991)*, volume 547 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 378–386. Springer, Berlin, 1991.

[28] Irene Pieper-Seier and Bianca Spille. Remarks on the paper: "On strong isotopy of dickson semifields and geometric implications". *Results in Mathematics. Resultate der Mathematik*, 35(3-4):310–313, 1999.

[29] Alexander Pott. A survey on relative difference sets. In *Groups, difference sets, and the Monster (Columbus, OH, 1993)*, volume 4 of *Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 195–232. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.

[30] Alexander Pott and Yue Zhou. Switching construction of planar functions on finite fields. In *Proceedings of the Third international conference on Arithmetic of finite fields*, WAIFI'10, pages 135–150, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010. Springer-Verlag.

[31] Arthur Ranum. The group of classes of congruent matrices with application to the group of isomorphisms of any abelian group. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 8(1):71–91, 1907.

[32] C. Riera and M.G. Parker. Generalized bent criteria for boolean functions (I). *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 52(9):4142 –4159, September 2006.

[33] Yue Zhou and Alexander Pott. A new family of semifields with 2 parameters. *Advances in Mathematics (to appear)*, 2012.